

Hochschild cohomology and global/local structures

Leonard Rubio y Degraffi

City, University of London
Groups St Andrews 2017

July 30, 2017

Hochschild cohomology and global/local structures

Leonard Rubio y Degraffi

City, University of London
Groups St Andrews 2017

July 30, 2017

Table of contents

- 1 Introduction/ Motivation
- 2 Global structure
- 3 Local structure

Let k be an algebraically closed field,

Let k be an algebraically closed field, G a finite group

Let k be an algebraically closed field, G a finite group

$$kG = B_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_n$$

Let k be an algebraically closed field, G a finite group

$$kG = B_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_n$$

two sided indecomposable ideals.

Let k be an algebraically closed field, G a finite group

$$kG = B_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_n$$

two sided indecomposable ideals.

If $\text{char}(k) = 0$ or $\text{char}(k)$ does not divide $|G|$ then B_i are matrix algebras.

Let k be an algebraically closed field, G a finite group

$$kG = B_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_n$$

two sided indecomposable ideals.

If $\text{char}(k) = 0$ or $\text{char}(k)$ does not divide $|G|$ then B_i are matrix algebras.

If $\text{char}(k)$ divides $|G|$ then

Let k be an algebraically closed field, G a finite group

$$kG = B_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_n$$

two sided indecomposable ideals.

If $\text{char}(k) = 0$ or $\text{char}(k)$ does not divide $|G|$ then B_i are matrix algebras.

If $\text{char}(k)$ divides $|G|$ then blocks are not well understood.

Let k be an algebraically closed field, G a finite group

$$kG = B_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_n$$

two sided indecomposable ideals.

If $\text{char}(k) = 0$ or $\text{char}(k)$ does not divide $|G|$ then B_i are matrix algebras.

If $\text{char}(k)$ divides $|G|$ then blocks are not well understood.

Two main approaches:

Let k be an algebraically closed field, G a finite group

$$kG = B_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_n$$

two sided indecomposable ideals.

If $\text{char}(k) = 0$ or $\text{char}(k)$ does not divide $|G|$ then B_i are matrix algebras.

If $\text{char}(k)$ divides $|G|$ then blocks are not well understood.

Two main approaches:

- Morita, derived, stable equivalence of Morita type (Global structure)

Let k be an algebraically closed field, G a finite group

$$kG = B_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_n$$

two sided indecomposable ideals.

If $\text{char}(k) = 0$ or $\text{char}(k)$ does not divide $|G|$ then B_i are matrix algebras.
If $\text{char}(k)$ divides $|G|$ then blocks are not well understood.

Two main approaches:

- Morita, derived, stable equivalence of Morita type (Global structure)
- Defect groups (p -group P of G) associated to each block. (Local structure)

Definition

Let A and B be two finite dimensional k -algebras.

Definition

Let A and B be two finite dimensional k -algebras. Given two bimodules ${}_A M_B$ and ${}_B N_A$ we say that M and N induce a **stable equivalence of Morita type** between A and B

Definition

Let A and B be two finite dimensional k -algebras. Given two bimodules ${}_A M_B$ and ${}_B N_A$ we say that M and N induce a **stable equivalence of Morita type** between A and B if ${}_A M_B$ and ${}_B N_A$ are projective left and right modules

Definition

Let A and B be two finite dimensional k -algebras. Given two bimodules ${}_A M_B$ and ${}_B N_A$ we say that M and N induce a **stable equivalence of Morita type** between A and B if ${}_A M_B$ and ${}_B N_A$ are projective left and right modules and if there is a bimodule isomorphism:

$${}_A M \otimes_B N_A \cong_A A_A \oplus_A P_A,$$

Definition

Let A and B be two finite dimensional k -algebras. Given two bimodules ${}_A M_B$ and ${}_B N_A$ we say that M and N induce a **stable equivalence of Morita type** between A and B if ${}_A M_B$ and ${}_B N_A$ are projective left and right modules and if there is a bimodule isomorphism:

$${}_A M \otimes_B N_A \cong_A A_A \oplus_A P_A, \quad {}_B N \otimes_A M_B =_B B_B \oplus_B Q_B$$

where ${}_A P_A$ and ${}_B Q_B$ are projective bimodules.

Definition

Let A and B be two finite dimensional k -algebras. Given two bimodules ${}_A M_B$ and ${}_B N_A$ we say that M and N induce a **stable equivalence of Morita type** between A and B if ${}_A M_B$ and ${}_B N_A$ are projective left and right modules and if there is a bimodule isomorphism:

$${}_A M \otimes_B N_A \cong_A A_A \oplus_A P_A, \quad {}_B N \otimes_A M_B =_B B_B \oplus_B Q_B$$

where ${}_A P_A$ and ${}_B Q_B$ are projective bimodules.

Conjecture (Auslander, Reiten)

Definition

Let A and B be two finite dimensional k -algebras. Given two bimodules ${}_A M_B$ and ${}_B N_A$ we say that M and N induce a **stable equivalence of Morita type** between A and B if ${}_A M_B$ and ${}_B N_A$ are projective left and right modules and if there is a bimodule isomorphism:

$${}_A M \otimes_B N_A \cong_A A_A \oplus_A P_A, \quad {}_B N \otimes_A M_B =_B B_B \oplus_B Q_B$$

where ${}_A P_A$ and ${}_B Q_B$ are projective bimodules.

Conjecture (Auslander, Reiten)

If A and B are stably equivalent (of Morita type), then the number of isomorphism classes of non-projective simple A -modules is equal to the number of isomorphism classes of non-projective simple B -modules.

The Hochschild cohomology of degree 1 of A is

The Hochschild cohomology of degree 1 of A is

$$\mathrm{HH}^1(A) = \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Hom}_{A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}}(P_A, A)) =$$

The Hochschild cohomology of degree 1 of A is

$$\mathrm{HH}^1(A) = \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Hom}_{A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}}(P_A, A)) = \frac{\mathrm{Der}(A)}{\mathrm{Inn}(A)}$$

The Hochschild cohomology of degree 1 of A is

$$\mathrm{HH}^1(A) = \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{Hom}_{A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}}(P_A, A)) = \frac{\mathrm{Der}(A)}{\mathrm{Inn}(A)}$$

where

$$\mathrm{Der}(A) = \{f : A \rightarrow A \text{ } k\text{-linear} \mid f(ab) = f(a)b + af(b) \text{ for every } a, b \in A\}$$

The Hochschild cohomology of degree 1 of A is

$$\mathrm{HH}^1(A) = H^1(\mathrm{Hom}_{A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}}(P_A, A)) = \frac{\mathrm{Der}(A)}{\mathrm{Inn}(A)}$$

where

$$\mathrm{Der}(A) = \{f : A \rightarrow A \text{ } k\text{-linear} \mid f(ab) = f(a)b + af(b) \text{ for every } a, b \in A\}$$

$$\mathrm{Inn}(A) = \{f : A \rightarrow A \text{ } k\text{-linear} \mid f(a) = ba - ab \text{ for } b \in A\}$$

Let A be a finite dimensional semisimple k -algebra.

Let A be a finite dimensional semisimple k -algebra. Then

$$\mathrm{HH}^1(A) = 0.$$

Let A be a finite dimensional semisimple k -algebra. Then

$$\mathrm{HH}^1(A) = 0.$$

Converse is not known in general.

Let A be a finite dimensional semisimple k -algebra. Then

$$\mathrm{HH}^1(A) = 0.$$

Converse is not known in general.

If $\mathrm{char}(k)$ divides $|G|$ then

Let A be a finite dimensional semisimple k -algebra. Then

$$\mathrm{HH}^1(A) = 0.$$

Converse is not known in general.

If $\mathrm{char}(k)$ divides $|G|$ then

Let A be a finite dimensional semisimple k -algebra. Then

$$\mathrm{HH}^1(A) = 0.$$

Converse is not known in general.

If $\mathrm{char}(k)$ divides $|G|$ then

$$\mathrm{HH}^1(kG) \neq 0.$$

Let A be a finite dimensional semisimple k -algebra. Then

$$\mathrm{HH}^1(A) = 0.$$

Converse is not known in general.

If $\mathrm{char}(k)$ divides $|G|$ then

$$\mathrm{HH}^1(kG) \neq 0.$$

Example

If we consider $\mathrm{char}(k) = 3$ and let $kG = kC_3 \cong k[x]/x^3$.

Let A be a finite dimensional semisimple k -algebra. Then

$$\mathrm{HH}^1(A) = 0.$$

Converse is not known in general.

If $\mathrm{char}(k)$ divides $|G|$ then

$$\mathrm{HH}^1(kG) \neq 0.$$

Example

If we consider $\mathrm{char}(k) = 3$ and let $kG = kC_3 \cong k[x]/x^3$. Then
 $\mathrm{HH}^1(k[x]/x^3) = \mathrm{Der}(k[x]/x^3)$

Let A be a finite dimensional semisimple k -algebra. Then

$$\mathrm{HH}^1(A) = 0.$$

Converse is not known in general.

If $\mathrm{char}(k)$ divides $|G|$ then

$$\mathrm{HH}^1(kG) \neq 0.$$

Example

If we consider $\mathrm{char}(k) = 3$ and let $kG = kC_3 \cong k[x]/x^3$. Then $\mathrm{HH}^1(k[x]/x^3) = \mathrm{Der}(k[x]/x^3)$ has k -basis $\{f_0, f_1, f_2\}$ where $f_0(x) = 1$, $f_1(x) = x$ and $f_2(x) = x^2$.

Let A be a finite dimensional semisimple k -algebra. Then

$$\mathrm{HH}^1(A) = 0.$$

Converse is not known in general.

If $\mathrm{char}(k)$ divides $|G|$ then

$$\mathrm{HH}^1(kG) \neq 0.$$

Example

If we consider $\mathrm{char}(k) = 3$ and let $kG = kC_3 \cong k[x]/x^3$. Then $\mathrm{HH}^1(k[x]/x^3) = \mathrm{Der}(k[x]/x^3)$ has k -basis $\{f_0, f_1, f_2\}$ where $f_0(x) = 1$, $f_1(x) = x$ and $f_2(x) = x^2$. This is called the Witt algebra

Let A be a finite dimensional semisimple k -algebra. Then

$$\mathrm{HH}^1(A) = 0.$$

Converse is not known in general.

If $\mathrm{char}(k)$ divides $|G|$ then

$$\mathrm{HH}^1(kG) \neq 0.$$

Example

If we consider $\mathrm{char}(k) = 3$ and let $kG = kC_3 \cong k[x]/x^3$. Then $\mathrm{HH}^1(k[x]/x^3) = \mathrm{Der}(k[x]/x^3)$ has k -basis $\{f_0, f_1, f_2\}$ where $f_0(x) = 1$, $f_1(x) = x$ and $f_2(x) = x^2$. This is called the Witt algebra (simple Lie algebra).

The first degree Hochschild cohomology is a restricted Lie algebra.

The first degree Hochschild cohomology is a restricted Lie algebra.
The Lie bracket in $\mathrm{HH}^1(A)$ is defined as

$$[f, g] = f \circ g - g \circ f.$$

The first degree Hochschild cohomology is a restricted Lie algebra.
The Lie bracket in $\mathrm{HH}^1(A)$ is defined as

$$[f, g] = f \circ g - g \circ f.$$

The p -power map $[\rho] : \mathrm{HH}^1(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}^1(A)$

The first degree Hochschild cohomology is a restricted Lie algebra.
The Lie bracket in $\mathrm{HH}^1(A)$ is defined as

$$[f, g] = f \circ g - g \circ f.$$

The p -power map $[p] : \mathrm{HH}^1(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}^1(A)$

$$f^{[p]} = f \circ \dots \circ f \text{ (*p*-times)}$$

The first degree Hochschild cohomology is a restricted Lie algebra.
The Lie bracket in $\mathrm{HH}^1(A)$ is defined as

$$[f, g] = f \circ g - g \circ f.$$

The p -power map $[\rho] : \mathrm{HH}^1(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{HH}^1(A)$

$$f^{[p]} = f \circ \dots \circ f \text{ (} p\text{-times)}$$

Theorem (Koenig, Liu and Zhou (2012))

If A and B are stable equivalent of Morita type then there is an isomorphism of Lie algebras:

$$\mathrm{HH}^1(A) \cong \mathrm{HH}^1(B)$$

First aim:

First aim: Prove that this is an isomorphism of restricted Lie algebras.

First aim: Prove that this is an isomorphism of restricted Lie algebras. We need to prove that the following diagram is commutative:

First aim: Prove that this is an isomorphism of restricted Lie algebras. We need to prove that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{HH}^1(B) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathrm{HH}^1(A) \\ \downarrow [p] & & \downarrow [p] \\ \mathrm{HH}^1(B) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathrm{HH}^1(A) \end{array}$$

Let S be a simple kG -module.

Let S be a simple kG -module. Then there exists only one block B such that $SB = S$.

Let S be a simple kG -module. Then there exists only one block B such that $SB = S$. In this case we say that S belongs to B .

Let S be a simple kG -module. Then there exists only one block B such that $SB = S$. In this case we say that S belongs to B .
Let B be a block with one simple module.

Let S be a simple kG -module. Then there exists only one block B such that $SB = S$. In this case we say that S belongs to B .

Let B be a block with one simple module. Special case: nilpotent block B

Let S be a simple kG -module. Then there exists only one block B such that $SB = S$. In this case we say that S belongs to B .

Let B be a block with one simple module. Special case: nilpotent block B (Morita equivalent to kP where P defect group of B).

Let S be a simple kG -module. Then there exists only one block B such that $SB = S$. In this case we say that S belongs to B .

Let B be a block with one simple module. Special case: nilpotent block B (Morita equivalent to kP where P defect group of B).

Second aim:

Let S be a simple kG -module. Then there exists only one block B such that $SB = S$. In this case we say that S belongs to B .

Let B be a block with one simple module. Special case: nilpotent block B (Morita equivalent to kP where P defect group of B).

Second aim: If we let $\mathrm{HH}^1(B)$ be a simple Lie algebra what is the structure of the block B ?

Let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra,

Let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra, r a positive integer,

Let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra, r a positive integer, $A[[t]]$ the formal power of series with coefficients in A

Let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra, r a positive integer, $A[[t]]$ the formal power of series with coefficients in A and let $\text{Aut}(A[[t]])$ be the group of $k[[t]]$ -automorphisms of $A[[t]]$.

Let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra, r a positive integer, $A[[t]]$ the formal power of series with coefficients in A and let $\text{Aut}(A[[t]])$ be the group of $k[[t]]$ -automorphisms of $A[[t]]$.

We denote by $\text{Aut}_r(A[[t]])$ the kernel of

$$\psi : \text{Aut}(A[[t]]) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A[[t]]/t^r A[[t]]).$$

Let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra, r a positive integer, $A[[t]]$ the formal power of series with coefficients in A and let $\text{Aut}(A[[t]])$ be the group of $k[[t]]$ -automorphisms of $A[[t]]$.

We denote by $\text{Aut}_r(A[[t]])$ the kernel of

$$\psi : \text{Aut}(A[[t]]) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A[[t]]/t^r A[[t]]).$$

Definition

A higher derivation \underline{D} of degree r is a sequence $D = (D_i)_{i \geq 0}$ of k -linear endomorphisms $D_i : A \rightarrow A$ such that

Let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra, r a positive integer, $A[[t]]$ the formal power of series with coefficients in A and let $\text{Aut}(A[[t]])$ be the group of $k[[t]]$ -automorphisms of $A[[t]]$.

We denote by $\text{Aut}_r(A[[t]])$ the kernel of

$$\psi : \text{Aut}(A[[t]]) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A[[t]]/t^r A[[t]]).$$

Definition

A higher derivation \underline{D} of degree r is a sequence $D = (D_i)_{i \geq 0}$ of k -linear endomorphisms $D_i : A \rightarrow A$ such that $D_0 = \text{Id}$,

Let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra, r a positive integer, $A[[t]]$ the formal power of series with coefficients in A and let $\text{Aut}(A[[t]])$ be the group of $k[[t]]$ -automorphisms of $A[[t]]$.

We denote by $\text{Aut}_r(A[[t]])$ the kernel of

$$\psi : \text{Aut}(A[[t]]) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A[[t]]/t^r A[[t]]).$$

Definition

A higher derivation \underline{D} of degree r is a sequence $D = (D_i)_{i \geq 0}$ of k -linear endomorphisms $D_i : A \rightarrow A$ such that $D_0 = \text{Id}$, $D_i = 0$ for every $i \leq r - 1$

Let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra, r a positive integer, $A[[t]]$ the formal power of series with coefficients in A and let $\text{Aut}(A[[t]])$ be the group of $k[[t]]$ -automorphisms of $A[[t]]$.

We denote by $\text{Aut}_r(A[[t]])$ the kernel of

$$\psi : \text{Aut}(A[[t]]) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A[[t]]/t^r A[[t]]).$$

Definition

A higher derivation \underline{D} of degree r is a sequence $D = (D_i)_{i \geq 0}$ of k -linear endomorphisms $D_i : A \rightarrow A$ such that $D_0 = \text{Id}$, $D_i = 0$ for every $i \leq r - 1$
 $D_r \neq 0$

Let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra, r a positive integer, $A[[t]]$ the formal power of series with coefficients in A and let $\text{Aut}(A[[t]])$ be the group of $k[[t]]$ -automorphisms of $A[[t]]$.

We denote by $\text{Aut}_r(A[[t]])$ the kernel of

$$\psi : \text{Aut}(A[[t]]) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A[[t]]/t^r A[[t]]).$$

Definition

A higher derivation \underline{D} of degree r is a sequence $D = (D_i)_{i \geq 0}$ of k -linear endomorphisms $D_i : A \rightarrow A$ such that $D_0 = \text{Id}$, $D_i = 0$ for every $i \leq r - 1$, $D_r \neq 0$ and $D_n(ab) = \sum_{i+j=n} D_i(a)D_j(b)$ for every $a, b \in A$.

Let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra, r a positive integer, $A[[t]]$ the formal power series with coefficients in A and let $\text{Aut}(A[[t]])$ be the group of $k[[t]]$ -automorphisms of $A[[t]]$.

We denote by $\text{Aut}_r(A[[t]])$ the kernel of

$$\psi : \text{Aut}(A[[t]]) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A[[t]]/t^r A[[t]]).$$

Definition

A higher derivation \underline{D} of degree r is a sequence $D = (D_i)_{i \geq 0}$ of k -linear endomorphisms $D_i : A \rightarrow A$ such that $D_0 = \text{Id}$, $D_i = 0$ for every $i \leq r - 1$, $D_r \neq 0$ and $D_n(ab) = \sum_{i+j=n} D_i(a)D_j(b)$ for every $a, b \in A$. The set of all of them is denoted by $\text{HD}_r(A)$.

Let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra, r a positive integer, $A[[t]]$ the formal power series with coefficients in A and let $\text{Aut}(A[[t]])$ be the group of $k[[t]]$ -automorphisms of $A[[t]]$.

We denote by $\text{Aut}_r(A[[t]])$ the kernel of

$$\psi : \text{Aut}(A[[t]]) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A[[t]]/t^r A[[t]]).$$

Definition

A higher derivation \underline{D} of degree r is a sequence $D = (D_i)_{i \geq 0}$ of k -linear endomorphisms $D_i : A \rightarrow A$ such that $D_0 = \text{Id}$, $D_i = 0$ for every $i \leq r - 1$, $D_r \neq 0$ and $D_n(ab) = \sum_{i+j=n} D_i(a)D_j(b)$ for every $a, b \in A$. The set of all of them is denoted by $\text{HD}_r(A)$.

Elements in $\text{HD}_r(A)$ are of the form

$$\underline{D} = (\text{Id}, 0, \dots, 0, D_r, \dots).$$

Remark

If \underline{D} is a higher derivation of degree r then D_r is a derivation.

Remark

If \underline{D} is a higher derivation of degree r then D_r is a derivation.

Proposition

For every $r \geq 1$, $\text{HD}_r(A)$ is a group given by

Remark

If \underline{D} is a higher derivation of degree r then D_r is a derivation.

Proposition

For every $r \geq 1$, $\text{HD}_r(A)$ is a group given by

$$\underline{D} \circ \underline{D}' = \left(\sum_{i=0}^n D_i \circ D'_{n-i} \right)_{n \geq 0}$$

Remark

If \underline{D} is a higher derivation of degree r then D_r is a derivation.

Proposition

For every $r \geq 1$, $\text{HD}_r(A)$ is a group given by

$$\underline{D} \circ \underline{D}' = \left(\sum_{i=0}^n D_i \circ D'_{n-i} \right)_{n \geq 0}$$

Proposition

We have a group isomorphism

Remark

If \underline{D} is a higher derivation of degree r then D_r is a derivation.

Proposition

For every $r \geq 1$, $\text{HD}_r(A)$ is a group given by

$$\underline{D} \circ \underline{D}' = \left(\sum_{i=0}^n D_i \circ D'_{n-i} \right)_{n \geq 0}$$

Proposition

We have a group isomorphism

$$\text{HD}_1(A) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_1(A[[t]])$$

Remark

If \underline{D} is a higher derivation of degree r then D_r is a derivation.

Proposition

For every $r \geq 1$, $\text{HD}_r(A)$ is a group given by

$$\underline{D} \circ \underline{D}' = \left(\sum_{i=0}^n D_i \circ D'_{n-i} \right)_{n \geq 0}$$

Proposition

We have a group isomorphism

$$\text{HD}_1(A) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_1(A[[t]])$$

Similarly we get a group isomorphism $\text{HD}_r(A) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_r(A[[t]])$.

Definition (Gerstenhaber, RyD)

A derivation D is **r -integrable**

Definition (Gerstenhaber, RyD)

A derivation D is r -**integrable** if there exists a higher derivation of degree r , $\underline{D} = (1, 0, \dots, D_r, \dots)$, such that

Definition (Gerstenhaber, RyD)

A derivation D is **r -integrable** if there exists a higher derivation of degree r , $\underline{D} = (1, 0, \dots, D_r, \dots)$, such that $D = D_r$.

Definition (Gerstenhaber, RyD)

A derivation D is **r -integrable** if there exists a higher derivation of degree r , $\underline{D} = (1, 0, \dots, D_r, \dots)$, such that $D = D_r$.

The image of $\phi : \text{Der}_r(A) \rightarrow \text{HH}^1(A)$ is denoted by $\text{HH}_r^1(A)$.

Definition (Gerstenhaber, RyD)

A derivation D is r -**integrable** if there exists a higher derivation of degree r , $\underline{D} = (1, 0, \dots, D_r, \dots)$, such that $D = D_r$.

The image of $\phi : \text{Der}_r(A) \rightarrow \text{HH}^1(A)$ is denoted by $\text{HH}_r^1(A)$.

Example

If we consider $\text{char}(k) = 3$ and let $kG = kC_3 \cong k[x]/x^3$, then f_1 and f_2 are r -integrable whilst f_0 is not.

Definition (Gerstenhaber, RyD)

A derivation D is r -**integrable** if there exists a higher derivation of degree r , $\underline{D} = (1, 0, \dots, D_r, \dots)$, such that $D = D_r$.

The image of $\phi : \text{Der}_r(A) \rightarrow \text{HH}^1(A)$ is denoted by $\text{HH}_r^1(A)$.

Example

If we consider $\text{char}(k) = 3$ and let $kG = kC_3 \cong k[x]/x^3$, then f_1 and f_2 are r -integrable whilst f_0 is not.

Proposition

The p -power map $[p]$ sends $\text{HH}_r^1(A)$ to $\text{HH}_{rp}^1(A)$.

Theorem (RyD)

Let A, B be finite dimensional symmetric k -algebras which are stably equivalent of Morita type. Then the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{HH}_r^1(B) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{HH}_r^1(A) \\ \downarrow [p] & & \downarrow [p] \\ \mathrm{HH}_{rp}^1(B) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{HH}_{rp}^1(A) \end{array}$$

Proposition (Briggs, RyD)

Let r, n be two positive integers and let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra. Then

Proposition (Briggs, RyD)

Let r, n be two positive integers and let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra. Then

- $\mathrm{HH}_r^1(A)$ is a k -vector space.

Proposition (Briggs, RyD)

Let r, n be two positive integers and let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra. Then

- $\mathrm{HH}_r^1(A)$ is a k -vector space.
- If r divides n then $\mathrm{Der}_r(A) \subseteq \mathrm{Der}_n(A)$.

Proposition (Briggs, RyD)

Let r, n be two positive integers and let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra. Then

- $\mathrm{HH}_r^1(A)$ is a k -vector space.
- If r divides n then $\mathrm{Der}_r(A) \subseteq \mathrm{Der}_n(A)$.
- $\cup_{i \geq 1} \mathrm{HH}_{ip}^1(A)$ is a filtered Lie algebra.

Proposition (Briggs, RyD)

Let r, n be two positive integers and let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra. Then

- $\mathrm{HH}_r^1(A)$ is a k -vector space.
- If r divides n then $\mathrm{Der}_r(A) \subseteq \mathrm{Der}_n(A)$.
- $\cup_{i \geq 1} \mathrm{HH}_{ip}^1(A)$ is a filtered Lie algebra.

Example

Let $A = k \langle x, y \rangle / \langle x^p, y^p, xy + yx \rangle$ then $\mathrm{Der}(A) = \mathrm{Der}_1(A)$.

Proposition (Briggs, RyD)

Let r, n be two positive integers and let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra. Then

- $\mathrm{HH}_r^1(A)$ is a k -vector space.
- If r divides n then $\mathrm{Der}_r(A) \subseteq \mathrm{Der}_n(A)$.
- $\cup_{i \geq 1} \mathrm{HH}_{ip}^1(A)$ is a filtered Lie algebra.

Example

Let $A = k \langle x, y \rangle / \langle x^p, y^p, xy + yx \rangle$ then $\mathrm{Der}(A) = \mathrm{Der}_1(A)$.

Proposition

Let A be as above and let B be a k -algebra stably equivalent of Morita type to A . Then:

Proposition (Briggs, RyD)

Let r, n be two positive integers and let A be a finite dimensional k -algebra. Then

- $\mathrm{HH}_r^1(A)$ is a k -vector space.
- If r divides n then $\mathrm{Der}_r(A) \subseteq \mathrm{Der}_n(A)$.
- $\cup_{i \geq 1} \mathrm{HH}_{i^p}^1(A)$ is a filtered Lie algebra.

Example

Let $A = k \langle x, y \rangle / \langle x^p, y^p, xy + yx \rangle$ then $\mathrm{Der}(A) = \mathrm{Der}_1(A)$.

Proposition

Let A be as above and let B be a k -algebra stably equivalent of Morita type to A . Then:

$$\mathrm{HH}^1(B) \cong \mathrm{HH}^1(A)$$

as restricted Lie algebras.

What is known for blocks with one simple module?

What is known for blocks with one simple module?

Theorem (Kessar)

Let $\text{char}(k) = 3$.

What is known for blocks with one simple module?

Theorem (Kessar)

Let $\text{char}(k) = 3$. Let B a block of kG , P a defect group of B and C the Brauer correspondent of B in $kN_G(P)$.

What is known for blocks with one simple module?

Theorem (Kessar)

Let $\text{char}(k) = 3$. Let B a block of kG , P a defect group of B and C the Brauer correspondent of B in $kN_G(P)$. Suppose that $P \cong C_3 \times C_3$ and that C is a non-nilpotent block with a unique isomorphism class of simple modules.

What is known for blocks with one simple module?

Theorem (Kessar)

Let $\text{char}(k) = 3$. Let B a block of kG , P a defect group of B and C the Brauer correspondent of B in $kN_G(P)$. Suppose that $P \cong C_3 \times C_3$ and that C is a non-nilpotent block with a unique isomorphism class of simple modules. Then B and C are Morita equivalent as k -algebras and a basic algebra of C is $k \langle x, y \rangle / \langle x^3, y^3, xy + yx \rangle$.

What is known for blocks with one simple module?

Theorem (Kessar)

Let $\text{char}(k) = 3$. Let B a block of kG , P a defect group of B and C the Brauer correspondent of B in $kN_G(P)$. Suppose that $P \cong C_3 \times C_3$ and that C is a non-nilpotent block with a unique isomorphism class of simple modules. Then B and C are Morita equivalent as k -algebras and a basic algebra of C is $k \langle x, y \rangle / \langle x^3, y^3, xy + yx \rangle$.

Landrock and Sambale describe the algebra structure of the center of a non-nilpotent 2-block with elementary abelian defect group of order 16.

What is known for blocks with one simple module?

Theorem (Kessar)

Let $\text{char}(k) = 3$. Let B a block of kG , P a defect group of B and C the Brauer correspondent of B in $kN_G(P)$. Suppose that $P \cong C_3 \times C_3$ and that C is a non-nilpotent block with a unique isomorphism class of simple modules. Then B and C are Morita equivalent as k -algebras and a basic algebra of C is $k \langle x, y \rangle / \langle x^3, y^3, xy + yx \rangle$.

Landrock and Sambale describe the algebra structure of the center of a non-nilpotent 2-block with elementary abelian defect group of order 16.

Theorem (Malle, Navarro, Späth)

Assume that B is a block of G and $\text{IBr}(B) = \{\phi\}$. Then there exists $\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)$ such that $\chi(1) = \phi(1)$.

Lemma

Let B be a block with one simple module.

Lemma

Let B be a block with one simple module. If B is nilpotent with elementary abelian defect group P of order at least 3,

Lemma

Let B be a block with one simple module. If B is nilpotent with elementary abelian defect group P of order at least 3, then $\mathrm{HH}^1(B)$ is a Jacobson-Witt algebra.

Lemma

Let B be a block with one simple module. If B is nilpotent with elementary abelian defect group P of order at least 3, then $\mathrm{HH}^1(B)$ is a Jacobson-Witt algebra.

Remark

If $|P| = 2$ then $kP = k[x]/x^2$.

Lemma

Let B be a block with one simple module. If B is nilpotent with elementary abelian defect group P of order at least 3, then $\mathrm{HH}^1(B)$ is a Jacobson-Witt algebra.

Remark

If $|P| = 2$ then $kP = k[x]/x^2$. Hence $\mathrm{HH}^1(kP) = \mathrm{Der}(k[x]/x^2)$.

Lemma

Let B be a block with one simple module. If B is nilpotent with elementary abelian defect group P of order at least 3, then $\mathrm{HH}^1(B)$ is a Jacobson-Witt algebra.

Remark

If $|P| = 2$ then $kP = k[x]/x^2$. Hence $\mathrm{HH}^1(kP) = \mathrm{Der}(k[x]/x^2)$. A basis is given by $\{f_0, f_1\}$ where $f_0(x) = 1$ and $f_1(x) = x$.

Lemma

Let B be a block with one simple module. If B is nilpotent with elementary abelian defect group P of order at least 3, then $\mathrm{HH}^1(B)$ is a Jacobson-Witt algebra.

Remark

If $|P| = 2$ then $kP = k[x]/x^2$. Hence $\mathrm{HH}^1(kP) = \mathrm{Der}(k[x]/x^2)$. A basis is given by $\{f_0, f_1\}$ where $f_0(x) = 1$ and $f_1(x) = x$. In this case the Lie ideal generated by f_0 is strictly contained in $\mathrm{HH}^1(k[x]/x^2)$.

Lemma

Let B be a block with one simple module. If B is nilpotent with elementary abelian defect group P of order at least 3, then $\mathrm{HH}^1(B)$ is a Jacobson-Witt algebra.

Remark

If $|P| = 2$ then $kP = k[x]/x^2$. Hence $\mathrm{HH}^1(kP) = \mathrm{Der}(k[x]/x^2)$. A basis is given by $\{f_0, f_1\}$ where $f_0(x) = 1$ and $f_1(x) = x$. In this case the Lie ideal generated by f_0 is strictly contained in $\mathrm{HH}^1(k[x]/x^2)$.

Theorem (Linckelmann, RyD)

Let B be a block with one simple module.

Lemma

Let B be a block with one simple module. If B is nilpotent with elementary abelian defect group P of order at least 3, then $\mathrm{HH}^1(B)$ is a Jacobson-Witt algebra.

Remark

If $|P| = 2$ then $kP = k[x]/x^2$. Hence $\mathrm{HH}^1(kP) = \mathrm{Der}(k[x]/x^2)$. A basis is given by $\{f_0, f_1\}$ where $f_0(x) = 1$ and $f_1(x) = x$. In this case the Lie ideal generated by f_0 is strictly contained in $\mathrm{HH}^1(k[x]/x^2)$.

Theorem (Linckelmann, RyD)

Let B be a block with one simple module. Then $\mathrm{HH}^1(B)$ is a simple Lie algebra if and only if

Lemma

Let B be a block with one simple module. If B is nilpotent with elementary abelian defect group P of order at least 3, then $\mathrm{HH}^1(B)$ is a Jacobson-Witt algebra.

Remark

If $|P| = 2$ then $kP = k[x]/x^2$. Hence $\mathrm{HH}^1(kP) = \mathrm{Der}(k[x]/x^2)$. A basis is given by $\{f_0, f_1\}$ where $f_0(x) = 1$ and $f_1(x) = x$. In this case the Lie ideal generated by f_0 is strictly contained in $\mathrm{HH}^1(k[x]/x^2)$.

Theorem (Linckelmann, RyD)

Let B be a block with one simple module. Then $\mathrm{HH}^1(B)$ is a simple Lie algebra if and only if B is nilpotent with elementary abelian defect group P of order at least 3.

Lemma

Let B be a block with one simple module. If B is nilpotent with elementary abelian defect group P of order at least 3, then $\mathrm{HH}^1(B)$ is a Jacobson-Witt algebra.

Remark

If $|P| = 2$ then $kP = k[x]/x^2$. Hence $\mathrm{HH}^1(kP) = \mathrm{Der}(k[x]/x^2)$. A basis is given by $\{f_0, f_1\}$ where $f_0(x) = 1$ and $f_1(x) = x$. In this case the Lie ideal generated by f_0 is strictly contained in $\mathrm{HH}^1(k[x]/x^2)$.

Theorem (Linckelmann, RyD)

Let B be a block with one simple module. Then $\mathrm{HH}^1(B)$ is a simple Lie algebra if and only if B is nilpotent with elementary abelian defect group P of order at least 3. In this case $\mathrm{HH}^1(B)$ is a Jacobson-Witt algebra.