

# Refining Brauer's Induction Theorem

Ryan Davies - University of Birmingham

August 1, 2017

Joint work with Anton Evseev.

# Motivation - Global-Local Conjectures

# Motivation - Global-Local Conjectures

Global-Local Conjectures are one of the key research areas in modern Representation Theory. These all involve the general notion that the Representation Theory of a finite group  $G$  is controlled/described by the Representation Theory of its local subgroups, i.e. its Sylow  $p$ -subgroups and their normalizers in the group. For example:

# Motivation - Global-Local Conjectures

Global-Local Conjectures are one of the key research areas in modern Representation Theory. These all involve the general notion that the Representation Theory of a finite group  $G$  is controlled/described by the Representation Theory of its local subgroups, i.e. its Sylow  $p$ -subgroups and their normalizers in the group. For example:

## Conjecture (McKay Conjecture)

*Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$  for a prime  $p$ . We denote by  $\text{Irr}_{p'}(G)$  to be the set of irreducible characters of  $G$  of order not divisible by  $p$ . Then,*

$$|\text{Irr}_{p'}(G)| = |\text{Irr}_{p'}(N_G(P))|.$$

# Refinements of McKay Conjecture

# Refinements of McKay Conjecture

There are many notable refinements of the McKay Conjecture, but the motivation for my research is the following refinement from Isaacs and Navarro:

# Refinements of McKay Conjecture

There are many notable refinements of the McKay Conjecture, but the motivation for my research is the following refinement from Isaacs and Navarro:

## Conjecture (Isaacs-Navarro Conjecture)

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$  for a prime  $p$ . We let  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$  and define,

$$M_l(G) := |\{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) : \chi(1) \equiv \pm l \pmod{p}\}|.$$

Then,  $M_l(G) = M_l(N_G(P))$  for every  $l$  coprime to  $p$ .

# Refinements of McKay Conjecture

There are many notable refinements of the McKay Conjecture, but the motivation for my research is the following refinement from Isaacs and Navarro:

## Conjecture (Isaacs-Navarro Conjecture)

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$  for a prime  $p$ . We let  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$  and define,

$$M_l(G) := |\{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) : \chi(1) \equiv \pm l \pmod{p}\}|.$$

Then,  $M_l(G) = M_l(N_G(P))$  for every  $l$  coprime to  $p$ .

While producing his own refinement of this conjecture, Evseev introduced the following 3 properties, which may or may not hold for a pair  $(G, H)$ .



# Definitions

- $\text{Irr}^p(G) := \{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) : \chi(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}.$

- $\text{Irr}^p(G) := \{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) : \chi(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}.$
- $\mathcal{C}^p(G) := \mathbb{Z}[\text{Irr}^p(G)].$

- $\text{Irr}^p(G) := \{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) : \chi(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}.$
- $\mathcal{C}^p(G) := \mathbb{Z}[\text{Irr}^p(G)].$
- $\mathcal{I}(G, P, \mathcal{S})$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -span of induced characters from subgroups in  $\mathcal{S}$  which is essentially a set of subgroups of  $P$ .

# Evseev: Refinements of McKay Conjecture

# Evseev: Refinements of McKay Conjecture

Let  $N_G(P) \leq H \leq G$  and consider the following properties for a pair  $(G, H)$ ;

# Evseev: Refinements of McKay Conjecture

Let  $N_G(P) \leq H \leq G$  and consider the following properties for a pair  $(G, H)$ ;

pRes-Syl

$$\text{Res}_H^G(\mathcal{C}^P(G)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^P(H) + \mathcal{I}(H, P, \mathcal{S}).$$

# Evseev: Refinements of McKay Conjecture

Let  $N_G(P) \leq H \leq G$  and consider the following properties for a pair  $(G, H)$ ;

pRes-Syl

$$\text{Res}_H^G(\mathcal{C}^P(G)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^P(H) + \mathcal{I}(H, P, \mathcal{S}).$$

pInd-Syl

$$\text{Ind}_H^G(\mathcal{C}^P(H)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^P(G) + \mathcal{I}(G, P, \mathcal{S}).$$



# Evseev: Refinements of McKay Conjecture

Let  $N_G(P) \leq H \leq G$  and consider the following properties for a pair  $(G, H)$ ;

pRes-Syl

$$\text{Res}_H^G(\mathcal{C}^P(G)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^P(H) + \mathcal{I}(H, P, S).$$

pInd-Syl

$$\text{Ind}_H^G(\mathcal{C}^P(H)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^P(G) + \mathcal{I}(G, P, S).$$

WIRC-Syl There exists a signed bijection  $F : \pm\text{Irr}_{p'}(G) \rightarrow \pm\text{Irr}_{p'}(H)$ , such that

$$F(\chi) \equiv \text{Res}_H^G \chi \pmod{\mathcal{C}^P(H) + \mathcal{I}(H, P, S)},$$

for all  $\chi \in \pm\text{Irr}_{p'}(G)$ .

# Evseev: Refinements of McKay Conjecture

Let  $N_G(P) \leq H \leq G$  and consider the following properties for a pair  $(G, H)$ ;

pRes-Syl

$$\text{Res}_H^G(\mathcal{C}^P(G)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^P(H) + \mathcal{I}(H, P, \mathcal{S}).$$

pInd-Syl

$$\text{Ind}_H^G(\mathcal{C}^P(H)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^P(G) + \mathcal{I}(G, P, \mathcal{S}).$$

WIRC-Syl There exists a signed bijection  $F : \pm\text{Irr}_{p'}(G) \rightarrow \pm\text{Irr}_{p'}(H)$ , such that

$$F(\chi) \equiv \text{Res}_H^G \chi \pmod{\mathcal{C}^P(H) + \mathcal{I}(H, P, \mathcal{S})},$$

for all  $\chi \in \pm\text{Irr}_{p'}(G)$ .

Note that the Isaacs-Navarro refinement of the McKay Conjecture follows if WIRC-Syl holds for  $(G, N_G(P))$ .

# Brauer's Induction Theorem

# Brauer's Induction Theorem

## Definition

A subgroup of  $G$  is called  $p$ -elementary if it is the direct product of a  $p$ -group and a cyclic  $p'$ -group. A subgroup of  $G$  is elementary if it is  $p$ -elementary for some prime  $p$ .

# Brauer's Induction Theorem

## Definition

A subgroup of  $G$  is called  $p$ -elementary if it is the direct product of a  $p$ -group and a cyclic  $p'$ -group. A subgroup of  $G$  is elementary if it is  $p$ -elementary for some prime  $p$ .

## Theorem

Let  $G$  be a finite group and suppose that  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ . We denote by  $\text{El}(G)$ , the set of elementary subgroups of  $G$ . Then there exists  $\theta_{(E)} \in \mathcal{C}(E)$  for each  $E \in \text{El}(G)$  such that,

$$\chi = \sum_{E \in \text{El}(G)} \text{Ind}_E^G \theta_{(E)}.$$

# Our Refinement

# Our Refinement

## Definition

Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $N \trianglelefteq G$  and  $p$  be a prime and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . We define,

## Definition

Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $N \trianglelefteq G$  and  $p$  be a prime and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . We define,

$$\mathcal{I}_{p^a}(G) := \{H \leq G : p^a \mid |G : H|\},$$



## Definition

Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $N \trianglelefteq G$  and  $p$  be a prime and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . We define,

$$\mathcal{I}_{p^a}(G) := \{H \leq G : p^a \mid |G : H|\},$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{p^a}(G, N) := \left\{ \sum_{\substack{H \in \mathcal{I}_{p^a}(G) \\ N \leq H}} \text{Ind}_H^G \theta_{(H)} : \theta_{(H)} \in \mathcal{C}(H) \right\}.$$

# Our Refinement

## Definition

Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $N \trianglelefteq G$  and  $p$  be a prime and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . We define,

$$\mathcal{I}_{p^a}(G) := \{H \leq G : p^a \mid |G : H|\},$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{p^a}(G, N) := \left\{ \sum_{\substack{H \in \mathcal{I}_{p^a}(G) \\ N \leq H}} \text{Ind}_H^G \theta_{(H)} : \theta_{(H)} \in \mathcal{C}(H) \right\}.$$

## Conjecture

*Suppose that  $G$  is a finite group and  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  such that  $\chi(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^a}$ . Then  $\chi \in \mathcal{A}_{p^a}(G, Z(G))$ .*

# Reduction Theorems

# Reduction Theorems

The goal here is to find a way of using the Classification of Finite Simple Groups (CFSG) to reduce the proof of these conjectures to problems on classes of subgroups relating to simple groups.

# Reduction Theorems

The goal here is to find a way of using the Classification of Finite Simple Groups (CFSG) to reduce the proof of these conjectures to problems on classes of subgroups relating to simple groups. There have been many reduction theorems of prominent problems in Representation Theory such as:

The goal here is to find a way of using the Classification of Finite Simple Groups (CFSG) to reduce the proof of these conjectures to problems on classes of subgroups relating to simple groups.

There have been many reduction theorems of prominent problems in Representation Theory such as:

- Berger-Knörr reduction of Brauer's Height 0 Conjecture (2003).

The goal here is to find a way of using the Classification of Finite Simple Groups (CFSG) to reduce the proof of these conjectures to problems on classes of subgroups relating to simple groups.

There have been many reduction theorems of prominent problems in Representation Theory such as:

- Berger-Knörr reduction of Brauer's Height 0 Conjecture (2003).
- Isaacs-Malle-Navarro reduction of the McKay Conjecture (2007).

The goal here is to find a way of using the Classification of Finite Simple Groups (CFSG) to reduce the proof of these conjectures to problems on classes of subgroups relating to simple groups.

There have been many reduction theorems of prominent problems in Representation Theory such as:

- Berger-Knörr reduction of Brauer's Height 0 Conjecture (2003).
- Isaacs-Malle-Navarro reduction of the McKay Conjecture (2007).
- Puig reduction of the Alperin Weight Conjecture (2011).



The goal here is to find a way of using the Classification of Finite Simple Groups (CFSG) to reduce the proof of these conjectures to problems on classes of subgroups relating to simple groups.

There have been many reduction theorems of prominent problems in Representation Theory such as:

- Berger-Knörr reduction of Brauer's Height 0 Conjecture (2003).
- Isaacs-Malle-Navarro reduction of the McKay Conjecture (2007).
- Puig reduction of the Alperin Weight Conjecture (2011).
- Späth reduction of the Alperin-McKay Conjecture (2013).

# Elements of Reduction Theorems

# Elements of Reduction Theorems

# Elements of Reduction Theorems

(1) How to introduce a simple group?

# Elements of Reduction Theorems

(1) How to introduce a simple group?

We introduce a minimal normal subgroup of the group,  $N \trianglelefteq G$ . This then satisfies  $N \cong S \times \cdots \times S$  for some simple group  $S$ . The problem is that these are not yet non-abelian simple groups.

# Elements of Reduction Theorems

(1) How to introduce a simple group?

We introduce a minimal normal subgroup of the group,  $N \trianglelefteq G$ . This then satisfies  $N \cong S \times \cdots \times S$  for some simple group  $S$ . The problem is that these are not yet non-abelian simple groups.

(2) Can we solve the Conjecture for  $p$ -solvable groups?

# Elements of Reduction Theorems

- (1) How to introduce a simple group?

We introduce a minimal normal subgroup of the group,  $N \trianglelefteq G$ . This then satisfies  $N \cong S \times \cdots \times S$  for some simple group  $S$ . The problem is that these are not yet non-abelian simple groups.

- (2) Can we solve the Conjecture for  $p$ -solvable groups?

For  $p$ -solvable groups, the minimal normal subgroups are direct products of cyclic groups and this is usually the simplest case to deal with to obtain a proof strategy.

# Elements of Reduction Theorems

- (1) How to introduce a simple group?

We introduce a minimal normal subgroup of the group,  $N \trianglelefteq G$ . This then satisfies  $N \cong S \times \cdots \times S$  for some simple group  $S$ . The problem is that these are not yet non-abelian simple groups.

- (2) Can we solve the Conjecture for  $p$ -solvable groups?

For  $p$ -solvable groups, the minimal normal subgroups are direct products of cyclic groups and this is usually the simplest case to deal with to obtain a proof strategy.

- (3) Can we shift the Representation Theory of  $G$  to that of  $N$ ?



# Elements of Reduction Theorems

- (1) How to introduce a simple group?

We introduce a minimal normal subgroup of the group,  $N \trianglelefteq G$ . This then satisfies  $N \cong S \times \cdots \times S$  for some simple group  $S$ . The problem is that these are not yet non-abelian simple groups.

- (2) Can we solve the Conjecture for  $p$ -solvable groups?

For  $p$ -solvable groups, the minimal normal subgroups are direct products of cyclic groups and this is usually the simplest case to deal with to obtain a proof strategy.

- (3) Can we shift the Representation Theory of  $G$  to that of  $N$ ?

This can be approached in several different ways. Our approach was to combine an induction hypothesis with Clifford Theory to introduce a character  $\theta$  of  $N$ , whose Representation Theory could be intimately linked to our character of  $G$ . In our situation, we then use the character theory of direct products to introduce characters of  $S$ .

# The Complicated Bit...

# The Complicated Bit...

We now sketch an idea of how our reduction theorem essentially proceeds.

# The Complicated Bit...

We now sketch an idea of how our reduction theorem essentially proceeds.

- (1) Build an almost simple group,  $L$ , which has  $S$  as its “base”. We then introduce some twisted group algebra on  $L$  so we can relate the character theory of  $S$  to that of  $L$ .

# The Complicated Bit...

We now sketch an idea of how our reduction theorem essentially proceeds.

- (1) Build an almost simple group,  $L$ , which has  $S$  as its “base”. We then introduce some twisted group algebra on  $L$  so we can relate the character theory of  $S$  to that of  $L$ .
- (2) Use the reduction hypothesis applied to almost simple groups to obtain that some  $\zeta \in \text{Irr}(L)$  belongs to our  $\mathcal{A}_{p^a}(L, 1)$ , i.e. can essentially be decomposed as a sum of induced generalised characters.

# The Complicated Bit...

We now sketch an idea of how our reduction theorem essentially proceeds.

- (1) Build an almost simple group,  $L$ , which has  $S$  as its “base”. We then introduce some twisted group algebra on  $L$  so we can relate the character theory of  $S$  to that of  $L$ .
- (2) Use the reduction hypothesis applied to almost simple groups to obtain that some  $\zeta \in \text{Irr}(L)$  belongs to our  $\mathcal{A}_{p^a}(L, 1)$ , i.e. can essentially be decomposed as a sum of induced generalised characters.
- (3) Embed  $G$  into  $L \wr S_n$  and use the representation theory of wreath products to extend our decomposition to  $G$  and end up with a decomposition for our original  $\chi$ .

# The Complicated Bit...

We now sketch an idea of how our reduction theorem essentially proceeds.

- (1) Build an almost simple group,  $L$ , which has  $S$  as its “base”. We then introduce some twisted group algebra on  $L$  so we can relate the character theory of  $S$  to that of  $L$ .
- (2) Use the reduction hypothesis applied to almost simple groups to obtain that some  $\zeta \in \text{Irr}(L)$  belongs to our  $\mathcal{A}_{p^a}(L, 1)$ , i.e. can essentially be decomposed as a sum of induced generalised characters.
- (3) Embed  $G$  into  $L \wr S_n$  and use the representation theory of wreath products to extend our decomposition to  $G$  and end up with a decomposition for our original  $\chi$ .





We aim to reduce our Conjecture to a set of subgroups called “Brauer-good” groups. These are essentially almost simple and quasisimple groups.

We aim to reduce our Conjecture to a set of subgroups called “Brauer-good” groups. These are essentially almost simple and quasisimple groups.

- (1) Reduction to quasisimple groups if  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable.

We aim to reduce our Conjecture to a set of subgroups called “Brauer-good” groups. These are essentially almost simple and quasisimple groups.

- (1) Reduction to quasisimple groups if  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable.
- (2) Reduction to Brauer-good groups if  $G$  is centerless.

We aim to reduce our Conjecture to a set of subgroups called “Brauer-good” groups. These are essentially almost simple and quasisimple groups.

- (1) Reduction to quasisimple groups if  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable.
- (2) Reduction to Brauer-good groups if  $G$  is centerless.
- (3) Reduction to Brauer-good groups if  $G$  satisfies a very specific extendibility condition on a twisted group algebra of one of its subgroups.

We aim to reduce our Conjecture to a set of subgroups called “Brauer-good” groups. These are essentially almost simple and quasisimple groups.

- (1) Reduction to quasisimple groups if  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable.
- (2) Reduction to Brauer-good groups if  $G$  is centerless.
- (3) Reduction to Brauer-good groups if  $G$  satisfies a very specific extendibility condition on a twisted group algebra of one of its subgroups.
- (4) If  $a = 1$ , the conjecture has been verified for sporadic groups, some of their automorphism groups and their central extensions.

We aim to reduce our Conjecture to a set of subgroups called “Brauer-good” groups. These are essentially almost simple and quasisimple groups.

- (1) Reduction to quasisimple groups if  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable.
- (2) Reduction to Brauer-good groups if  $G$  is centerless.
- (3) Reduction to Brauer-good groups if  $G$  satisfies a very specific extendibility condition on a twisted group algebra of one of its subgroups.
- (4) If  $a = 1$ , the conjecture has been verified for sporadic groups, some of their automorphism groups and their central extensions.
- (5) If  $a = 1$ , then the conjecture is true for symmetric groups.

We aim to reduce our Conjecture to a set of subgroups called “Brauer-good” groups. These are essentially almost simple and quasisimple groups.

- (1) Reduction to quasisimple groups if  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable.
- (2) Reduction to Brauer-good groups if  $G$  is centerless.
- (3) Reduction to Brauer-good groups if  $G$  satisfies a very specific extendibility condition on a twisted group algebra of one of its subgroups.
- (4) If  $a = 1$ , the conjecture has been verified for sporadic groups, some of their automorphism groups and their central extensions.
- (5) If  $a = 1$ , then the conjecture is true for symmetric groups.
- (6) If  $a = 1$  and  $p = 2$  and the abelianisation of the Sylow 2-subgroup is elementary abelian, then the conjecture is true.