

FINITE GROUPS OF LIE TYPE AND THEIR REPRESENTATIONS – LECTURE III

Gerhard Hiss

Lehrstuhl D für Mathematik
RWTH Aachen University

Groups St Andrews 2009 in Bath
University of Bath, August 1 – 15, 2009

CONTENTS

- 1 Harish-Chandra theory
- 2 Notions of character theory
- 3 Deligne-Lusztig theory

CLASSIFICATION OF REPRESENTATIONS: RECOLLECTION

Let G be a finite group and k an algebraically closed field with $\text{char}(k) = \ell \geq 0$.

- 1 There are only finitely many irreducible k -representations of G up to equivalence.
- 2 Classify all irreducible representations of G .
- 3 Describe all irreducible representations of all finite simple groups.

In the following, unless otherwise said, let G be a finite reductive group of characteristic p .

In Lecture 2 we have considered the situation $\ell = p$.

In this lecture we will mainly, but not exclusively, investigate the case $\ell = 0$.

LEVI SUBGROUPS: RECOLLECTION

Recall that there is a distinguished class of subgroups of G , the **parabolic subgroups**.

One way to describe them is through the concept of split BN -pairs of characteristic p .

A parabolic subgroup P has a **Levi decomposition** $P = LU$, where $U = O_p(P) \triangleleft P$ is the **unipotent radical** of P , and L a **Levi complement** of U in P , i.e. L is a **Levi subgroup** of G .

Levi subgroups of G resemble G ; in particular, they are again groups of Lie type.

Inductively, we may use the representations of the Levi subgroups to obtain information about the representations of G .

This is the idea behind **Harish-Chandra theory**.

HARISH-CHANDRA INDUCTION

Assume from now on that $\ell \neq p$.

Let L be a Levi subgroup of G , and M a kL -module.

View M as a kP -module via $\pi : P \rightarrow L$
($a.v := \pi(a).v$ for $v \in M, a \in P$).

Put

$$R_L^G(M) := \{f : G \rightarrow M \mid a.f(b) = f(ab) \text{ for all } a \in P, b \in G\}.$$

(Modular forms.)

$R_L^G(M)$ is a kG -module, called **Harish-Chandra induced** module.

[Action of G : $g.f(b) := f(bg), g, b \in G, f \in R_L^G(M)$.]

$R_L^G(M)$ is independent of the choice of P with $P \rightarrow L$.

[Lusztig, 1977 ($\ell = 0$);

Dipper-Du, 1993; Howlett-Lehrer, 1994 ($\ell > 0$)].

CENTRALISER ALGEBRAS

With L and M as before, put

$$\mathcal{H}(L, M) := \text{End}_{kG}(R_L^G(M)).$$

$\mathcal{H}(L, M)$ is the **centraliser algebra (or Hecke algebra)** of the kG -module $R_L^G(M)$, i.e., $\mathcal{H}(L, M) =$

$$\left\{ \gamma \in \text{End}_k(R_L^G(M)) \mid \gamma(g.f) = g.\gamma(f) \text{ for all } g \in G, f \in R_L^G(M) \right\}.$$

$\mathcal{H}(L, M)$ is used to analyse the submodules and quotients of $R_L^G(M)$.

IWAHORI'S EXAMPLE (1964)

Suppose that $\text{char}(k) = 0$.

Let $G = \text{GL}_n(q)$, $L = T$, the group of diagonal matrices, M the trivial kL -module. Then

$$\mathcal{H}(L, M) = \mathcal{H}_{k,q}(S_n),$$

the Iwahori-Hecke algebra over k with parameter q associated to the Weyl group S_n of G (Iwahori).

Presentation of $\mathcal{H}_{k,q}(S_n)$ (as k -algebra):

$$\langle T_1, \dots, T_{n-1} \mid \text{braid relations}, T_i^2 = q1_k + (q-1)T_i \rangle_{k\text{-algebra}}.$$

Braid relations:

$$T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-2).$$

HARISH-CHANDRA CLASSIFICATION

Let V be a simple kG -module.

V is called **cuspidal**, if V is **not** a **submodule** of $R_L^G(M)$ for some **proper** Levi subgroup L of G .

Harish-Chandra theory (HC-induction, cuspidality) yields the following classification.

THEOREM (HARISH-CHANDRA (1968), LUSZTIG ('70s) ($\ell = 0$), GECK-H.-MALLE (1996) ($\ell > 0$))

$$\left\{ V \mid V \text{ simple } kG\text{-module} \right\} / \text{isomorphism}$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$\left\{ (L, M, \theta) \mid \begin{array}{l} L \text{ Levi subgroup of } G \\ M \text{ simple, cuspidal } kL\text{-module} \\ \theta \text{ irred. } k\text{-rep'n of } \mathcal{H}(L, M) \end{array} \right\} / \text{conjugacy}$$

PROBLEMS IN HARISH-CHANDRA THEORY

The above theorem leads to the three tasks:

- 1 Determine the **cuspidal pairs** (L, M) .
- 2 For each of these, “compute” $\mathcal{H}(L, M)$.
- 3 Classify the irreducible k -representations of $\mathcal{H}(L, M)$.

State of the art in case $\ell = 0$ (Lusztig):

- Cuspidal simple kG -modules arise from étale cohomology groups of Deligne-Lusztig varieties.
- $\mathcal{H}(L, M)$ is an Iwahori-Hecke algebra (Lusztig, Howlett-Lehrer) corresponding to a Coxeter group, namely $W_G(L, M) := (N_G(L, M) \cap N)L/L$ (the N from the BN -pair).
- $\mathcal{H}(L, M) \cong kW_G(L, M)$ (Tits deformation theorem).

EXAMPLE: $SL_2(q)$

Let $G = SL_2(q)$ and $\ell = 0$.

The group T of diagonal matrices is the only proper Levi subgroup; it is a cyclic group of order $q - 1$.

Put $W_G(T) := (N_G(T) \cap N) / T$ ($:= N_G(\mathbf{T}) / T$).

Then $W_G(T) = \langle T, s \rangle / T$ with $s = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, and so

$|W_G(T)| = 2$.

Let M be a simple kT -module. Then $\dim M = 1$ and M is cuspidal, and $\dim R_T^G(M) = q + 1$ (since $[G : B] = q + 1$).

Case 1: $W_G(T, M) = \{1\}$. Then $\mathcal{H}(T, M) \cong k$ and $R_T^G(M)$ is simple.

Case 2: $W_G(T, M) = W_G(T)$. Then $\mathcal{H}(T, M) \cong kW_G(T)$, and $R_T^G(M)$ is the sum of two simple kG -modules.

DRINFELD'S EXAMPLE

The cuspidal simple $k\mathrm{SL}_2(q)$ -modules have dimensions $q - 1$ and $(q - 1)/2$ (the latter only occur if p is odd).

How to construct these?

Consider the affine curve

$$C = \{(x, y) \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p^2 \mid xy^q - x^qy = 1\}.$$

$G = \mathrm{SL}_2(q)$ acts on C by linear change of coordinates.

Hence G also acts on the étale cohomology group

$$H_c^1(C, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_r),$$

where r is a prime different from p .

It turns out that the simple $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_r G$ -submodules of $H_c^1(C, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_r)$ are the cuspidal ones (here $k = \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_r$).

CHARACTERS

Let G be a finite group and k a field.

Let $\mathfrak{X} : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ be a k -representation of G .

The **character** afforded by \mathfrak{X} is the map

$$\chi_{\mathfrak{X}} : G \rightarrow k, \quad g \mapsto \mathrm{Trace}(\mathfrak{X}(g)).$$

(This is not the same as the formal character introduced in Lecture II.)

$\chi_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is constant on conjugacy classes: a **class function** on G .

Equivalent k -representations have the same character.

IRREDUCIBLE CHARACTERS

If \mathfrak{X} is irreducible, $\chi_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is called an **irreducible character**.

FACTS

- 1 If $W \leq V$ is G -invariant, then $\chi_{\mathfrak{X}} = \chi_{\mathfrak{X}_W} + \chi_{\mathfrak{X}_{V/W}}$.
- 2 There are only finitely many irreducible characters of G .
- 3 The set of irreducible characters of G is linearly independent (in $\text{Maps}(G, k)$).
- 4 Every character is a sum of irreducible characters.
- 5 Two irreducible representations are equivalent, if and only if their characters are equal.
- 6 Suppose that $\text{char}(k) = 0$. Then two representations are equivalent, if and only if their characters are equal.

THE ORDINARY CHARACTER TABLE

From now on let k be algebraically closed of characteristic 0.

Put $\text{Irr}(G) :=$ set of irreducible k -characters of G ,
 $\text{Irr}(G) = \{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m\}$.

Let g_1, \dots, g_m be representatives of the conjugacy classes of G
(same m as above!).

The square matrix

$$[\chi_i(g_j)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$$

is called the **ordinary character table** of G .

AN EXAMPLE: THE ALTERNATING GROUP A_5

EXAMPLE (THE CHARACTER TABLE OF $A_5 \cong \text{SL}_2(4)$)

	1a	2a	3a	5a	5b
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	3	-1	0	A	*A
χ_3	3	-1	0	*A	A
χ_4	4	0	1	-1	-1
χ_5	5	1	-1	0	0

$$A = (1 - \sqrt{5})/2, \quad *A = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$$

$$1 \in 1a, \quad (1, 2)(3, 4) \in 2a, \quad (1, 2, 3) \in 3a,$$

$$(1, 2, 3, 4, 5) \in 5a, \quad (1, 3, 5, 2, 4) \in 5b$$

GOALS AND RESULTS

AIM

Describe all ordinary character tables of all finite simple groups and related finite groups.

Almost done:

- 1 For alternating groups: Frobenius, Schur
- 2 For groups of Lie type: Green, Deligne, **Lusztig**, Shoji, ...
(only "a few" character values missing)
- 3 For sporadic groups and other "small" groups:



Atlas of Finite Groups, Conway, Curtis,
Norton, Parker, Wilson, 1986

THE GENERIC CHARACTER TABLE FOR $SL_2(q)$, q EVEN

	C_1	C_2	$C_3(a)$	$C_4(b)$
χ_1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	q	0	1	-1
$\chi_3(m)$	$q+1$	1	$\zeta^{am} + \zeta^{-am}$	0
$\chi_4(n)$	$q-1$	-1	0	$-\xi^{bn} - \xi^{-bn}$

$a, m = 1, \dots, (q-2)/2, \quad b, n = 1, \dots, q/2,$

$\zeta := \exp\left(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{q-1}\right), \quad \xi := \exp\left(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{q+1}\right)$

$\begin{bmatrix} \mu^a & 0 \\ 0 & \mu^{-a} \end{bmatrix} \in C_3(a)$ ($\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q$ a primitive $(q-1)$ th root of 1)

$\begin{bmatrix} \nu^b & 0 \\ 0 & \nu^{-b} \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{\cong}{\sim} C_4(b)$ ($\nu \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ a primitive $(q+1)$ th root of 1)

Specialising q to 4, gives the character table of $SL_2(4) \cong A_5$.

DELIGNE-LUSZTIG VARIETIES

Let r be a prime different from p and put $k := \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_r$.

Let (\mathbf{G}, F) be a finite reductive group, $G = \mathbf{G}^F$.

Deligne and Lusztig (1976) construct for each pair (\mathbf{T}, θ) , where \mathbf{T} is an F -stable maximal torus of \mathbf{G} , and $\theta \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{T}^F)$, a **generalised character** $R_{\mathbf{T}, \theta}^{\mathbf{G}}$ of G .

(A generalised character of G is an element of $\mathbb{Z}[\text{Irr}(G)]$.)

Let (\mathbf{T}, θ) be a pair as above.

Choose a Borel subgroup $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}$ of \mathbf{G} with Levi subgroup \mathbf{T} .
(In general \mathbf{B} is **not** F -stable.)

Consider the **Deligne-Lusztig variety** associated to \mathbf{B} ,

$$X_{\mathbf{B}} = \{g \in \mathbf{G} \mid g^{-1}F(g) \in \mathbf{U}\}.$$

This is an algebraic variety over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$.

DELIGNE-LUSZTIG GENERALISED CHARACTERS

The finite groups $G = \mathbf{G}^F$ and $T = \mathbf{T}^F$ act on $X_{\mathbf{B}}$, and these actions commute.

Thus the étale cohomology group $H_c^i(X_{\mathbf{B}}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_r)$ is a $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_r[G \times T]$ -module,

and so its θ -isotypic component $H_c^i(X_{\mathbf{B}}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_r)_{\theta}$ is a $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_r G$ -module, whose character is denoted by $\text{ch } H_c^i(X_{\mathbf{B}}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_r)_{\theta}$.

Only finitely many of the vector spaces $H_c^i(X_{\mathbf{B}}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_r)$ are $\neq 0$.

Now put

$$R_{\mathbf{T}, \theta}^{\mathbf{G}} = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{ch } H_c^i(X_{\mathbf{B}}, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_r)_{\theta}.$$

$R_{\mathbf{T}, \theta}^{\mathbf{G}}$ is independent of the choice of \mathbf{B} containing \mathbf{T} .

PROPERTIES OF DELIGNE-LUSZTIG CHARACTERS

The above construction and the following facts are due to Deligne and Lusztig (1976).

FACTS

Let (\mathbf{T}, θ) be a pair as above. Then

- ❶ $R_{\mathbf{T}, \theta}^G(1) = \pm[G : T]_{p'}$.
- ❷ If \mathbf{T} is contained in an F -stable Borel subgroup \mathbf{B} , then $R_{\mathbf{T}, \theta}^G = R_{\mathbf{T}}^G(\theta)$ is the Harish-Chandra induced character.
- ❸ If θ is in *general position*, i.e. $N_G(\mathbf{T}, \theta)/T = \{1\}$, then $\pm R_{\mathbf{T}, \theta}^G$ is an irreducible character.

FACTS

- ❹ For $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, there is a pair (\mathbf{T}, θ) such that χ occurs in the (unique) expansion of $R_{\mathbf{T}, \theta}^G$ into $\text{Irr}(G)$.
(Recall that $\text{Irr}(G)$ is a basis of $\mathbb{Z}[\text{Irr}(G)]$.)

UNIPOTENT CHARACTERS

DEFINITION (LUSZTIG)

An character χ of G is called *unipotent*, if χ is irreducible, and if χ occurs in $R_{\mathbf{T},1}^{\mathbf{G}}$ for some F -stable maximal torus \mathbf{T} of \mathbf{G} , where $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the trivial character of $T = \mathbf{T}^F$.

We write $\text{Irr}^u(G)$ for the set of unipotent characters of G .

The above definition of unipotent characters uses étale cohomology groups.

So far, no elementary description known, except for $\text{GL}_n(q)$; see below.

Lusztig classified $\text{Irr}^u(G)$ in all cases, **independently** of q .

Harish-Chandra induction preserves unipotent characters, so it suffices to construct the cuspidal unipotent characters.

THE UNIPOTENT CHARACTERS OF $GL_n(q)$

Let $G = GL_n(q)$.

Then $\text{Irr}^u(G) = \{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) \mid \chi \text{ occurs in } R_T^G(1)\}$.

Moreover, there is bijection

$$\mathcal{P}_n \leftrightarrow \text{Irr}^u(G), \quad \lambda \leftrightarrow \chi_\lambda,$$

where \mathcal{P}_n denotes the set of partitions of n .

The degrees of the unipotent characters are “polynomials in q ”:

$$\chi_\lambda(1) = q^{d(\lambda)} \frac{(q^n - 1)(q^{n-1} - 1) \cdots (q - 1)}{\prod_{h(\lambda)} (q^h - 1)},$$

with a certain $d(\lambda) \in \mathbb{N}$, and where $h(\lambda)$ runs through the hook lengths of λ .

THE DEGREES OF THE UNIPOTENT CHARACTERS OF $GL_5(q)$

λ	$\chi_\lambda(1)$
(5)	1
(4, 1)	$q(q+1)(q^2+1)$
(3, 2)	$q^2(q^4+q^3+q^2+q+1)$
(3, 1 ²)	$q^3(q^2+1)(q^2+q+1)$
(2 ² , 1)	$q^4(q^4+q^3+q^2+q+1)$
(2, 1 ³)	$q^6(q+1)(q^2+1)$
(1 ⁵)	q^{10}

JORDAN DECOMPOSITION OF ELEMENTS

An important concept in the classification of elements of a finite reductive group is the **Jordan decomposition** of elements.

Since $\mathbf{G} \leq \mathrm{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, every $g \in \mathbf{G}$ has finite order.

Hence g has a unique decomposition as

$$g = su = us \tag{1}$$

with u a p -element and s a p' -element.

It follows from Linear Algebra that u is **unipotent**, i.e. all eigenvalues of u are equal to 1, and s is **semisimple**, i.e. diagonalisable.

(1) is called the **Jordan decomposition** of $g \in \mathbf{G}$.

If $g \in G = \mathbf{G}^F$, then so are u and s .

JORDAN DECOMPOSITION OF CONJUGACY CLASSES

This yields a model classification for Case 2 ($\ell = 0$) and, perhaps, Case 3 ($0 \neq \ell \neq p$).

For $g \in G$ with Jordan decomposition $g = us = su$, we write $C_{u,s}^G$ for the G -conjugacy class containing g .

This gives a labelling

$$\begin{array}{c} \{\text{conjugacy classes of } G\} \\ \updownarrow \\ \{C_{s,u}^G \mid s \text{ semisimple, } u \in C_G(s) \text{ unipotent}\}. \end{array}$$

(In the above, the labels s and u have to be taken modulo conjugacy in G and $C_G(s)$, respectively.)

Moreover, $|C_{s,u}^G| = |G : C_G(s)| |C_{1,u}^{C_G(s)}|$.

This is the **Jordan decomposition of conjugacy classes**.

EXAMPLE: THE GENERAL LINEAR GROUP ONCE MORE

$G = \mathrm{GL}_n(q)$, $s \in G$ semisimple. Then

$$C_G(s) \cong \mathrm{GL}_{n_1}(q^{d_1}) \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_2}(q^{d_2}) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_m}(q^{d_m})$$

with $\sum_{i=1}^m n_i d_i = n$. (This gives finitely many **class types**.)

Thus it suffices to classify the set of unipotent conjugacy classes \mathcal{U} of G .

By Linear Algebra we have

$$\mathcal{U} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{P}_n = \{\text{partitions of } n\}$$

$$C_{1,u}^G \longleftrightarrow (\text{sizes of Jordan blocks of } u)$$

This classification is **generic**, i.e., independent of q .

In general, i.e. for other groups, it depends slightly on q .

JORDAN DECOMPOSITION OF CHARACTERS

Let (\mathbf{G}, F) be a connected reductive group.

Let (\mathbf{G}^*, F) denote the dual reductive group.

If \mathbf{G} is determined by the root datum (X, Φ, Y, Φ^\vee) , then \mathbf{G}^* is defined by the root datum (Y, Φ^\vee, X, Φ) .

EXAMPLES

(1) If $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, then $\mathbf{G}^* = \mathbf{G}$.

(2) If $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{SO}_{2m+1}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, then $\mathbf{G}^* = \mathrm{Sp}_{2m}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$.

MAIN THEOREM (LUSZTIG; JORDAN DEC. OF CHAR'S, 1984)

Suppose that $Z(\mathbf{G})$ is connected. Then there is a bijection

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbf{G}) \longleftrightarrow \{\chi_{s,\lambda} \mid s \in G^* \text{ semisimple}, \lambda \in \mathrm{Irr}^u(C_{G^*}(s))\}$$

Moreover, $\chi_{s,\lambda}(1) = |G^ : C_{G^*}(s)|_{p'} \lambda(1)$.*

THE IRREDUCIBLE CHARACTERS OF $\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$

Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(q)$. Then

$$\mathrm{Irr}(G) = \{\chi_{s,\lambda} \mid s \in G \text{ semisimple}, \lambda \in \mathrm{Irr}^u(C_G(s))\}.$$

We have $C_G(s) \cong \mathrm{GL}_{n_1}(q^{d_1}) \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_2}(q^{d_2}) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_m}(q^{d_m})$
with $\sum_{i=1}^m n_i d_i = n$.

Thus $\lambda = \lambda_1 \boxtimes \lambda_2 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \lambda_m$ with $\lambda_i \in \mathrm{Irr}^u(\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(q^{d_i})) \iff \mathcal{P}_{n_i}$.

Moreover,

$$\chi_{s,\lambda}(1) = \frac{(q^n - 1) \cdots (q - 1)}{\prod_{i=1}^m [(q^{d_i n_i} - 1) \cdots (q^{d_i} - 1)]} \prod_{i=1}^m \lambda_i(1).$$




THE DEGREES OF THE IRREDUCIBLE CHARACTERS OF $GL_3(q)$

$C_G(s)$	λ	$\chi_{s,\lambda}(1)$
$GL_1(q^3)$	(1)	$(q-1)^2(q+1)$
$GL_1(q^2) \times GL_1(q)$	(1) \boxtimes (1)	$(q-1)(q^2+q+1)$
$GL_1(q)^3$	(1) \boxtimes (1) \boxtimes (1)	$(q+1)(q^2+q+1)$
$GL_2(q) \times GL_1(q)$	(2) \boxtimes (1)	q^2+q+1
	(1, 1) \boxtimes (1)	$q(q^2+q+1)$
$GL_3(q)$	(3)	1
	(2, 1)	$q(q+1)$
	(1, 1, 1)	q^3

CONCLUDING REMARKS

- 1 There are also results by Lusztig (1988) in case $Z(\mathbf{G})$ is not connected, e.g. if $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{SL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ or $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{Sp}_{2m}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ with p odd. For such groups, $C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)$ is not always connected, and the problem then is to define unipotent characters for $C_{\mathbf{G}^*}(s)^F$.
- 2 The Jordan decomposition of conjugacy classes and characters allow for the construction of generic character tables in all cases.
- 3 Let $\{G(q) \mid q \text{ a prime power}\}$ be a **series** of finite groups of Lie type, e.g. $\{\mathrm{GU}_n(q)\}$ or $\{\mathrm{SL}_n(q)\}$ (n fixed). Then there exists a **finite** set \mathcal{D} of polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ s.t.:
If $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G(q))$, then there is $f \in \mathcal{D}$ with $\chi(1) = f(q)$.

REFERENCES

-  R.W. CARTER, *Simple groups of Lie type*, Wiley, 1972.
-  R.W. CARTER, *Finite groups of Lie type: Conjugacy classes and complex characters*, Wiley, 1985.
-  F. DIGNE AND J. MICHEL, *Representations of finite groups of Lie type*, London Math. Soc. Students Texts 21, Cambridge University Press, 1991.

Thank you for your listening!